

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,173	11/19/2003	Jeffrey L. Anderson	CM-006C (Div.)-US 9325	
75	90 06/25/2004		EXAM	NER
Neil E. Hamilton			SELLERS, ROBERT E	
8310 16th Stree	t, M/S 509			
P.O. Box 902			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Sturtevant, WI 53177-0902			1712	

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/718,173	ANDERSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert Sellers	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status Status Status Status Status Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4)	awn from consideration.	ion requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· - · · · ·	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of: 2. Certified copies of the priority document of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the cert	its have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/19/2003. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1712

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 42-50, drawn to a method of preparing an acrylic-epoxy powder coating comprising mixing the reaction product of a reactive functional groups-containing addition polymer A and a co-reactive functional groups-containing polymer B with an epoxy resin and an acrylic resin, classified in class 525, subclass 108.

Page 2

- II. Claims 2, 3, 10, 33, 34, 41, 52 and 54, drawn to a composition comprising the reaction product of a *reactive functional groups-containing addition* polymer A and a co-reactive functional groups-containing polymer B, classified in class 525, subclass 176.
- III. Claims 12, 13, 21 and 53, drawn to a process for preparing a composition comprising reacting a reactive functional groups-containing addition polymer A and a co-reactive functional groups-containing polymer B, classified in class 525, subclass 183.

The inventions are distinct from each other because:

2. Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as a method of fabricating a molded object.

Art Unit: 1712

3. Inventions III and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process of reacting mutually reactive polymers as claimed can be used to make another materially different product such as the non-gelled reaction product of an epoxy resin and a polyetherpolyamine.

Page 3

4. The method of preparation of Invention I involves a materially different addition mixing step of already reacted A and B polymers with an epoxy resin and acrylic resin which is distinct from only the reaction of the A and B polymers of Invention II.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- i) The A polymers such as the styrene/acrylic acid/ α -methylstyrene copolymer of claim 49 if Invention I is elected, or the styrene/2-ethylhexyl acrylate/2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate copolymer of claim 10 or 41 (contingent upon the election of Invention II) or claim 21 (contingent upon the election of Invention III).

Art Unit: 1712

ii) The B polymers wherein a single species is designated from those denoted in claim 46 and co-reactive functional groups are identified from claim 47 (Invention I), 52 or 54 (Invention II), or claim 53 (Invention III).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species within each of items i) and ii) hereinabove for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 2, 3, 10, 12, 13, 21, 33, 34 and 41-54 are generic.

A reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species (MPEP § 809.02(a)).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1712

6. The reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the

invention and species to be examined even though the requirement be traversed

(37 CFR 1.143). Upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under

37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7. The Information Disclosure Statement filed November 19, 2003 has been

considered and initialed since it contains the same references recited in parent

application no. 09/930,912. However, the Information Disclosure Statement filed

February 24, 2004 contains new citations and will not be considered until the election of

an invention and species is filed.

8. The specification on page 1, paragraph [0001] according to the preliminary

amendment filed November 19, 2003 should include the U.S. Patent No. of 6,696,522

for parent application no. 09/930,912.

(571) 272-1093 (Fax no. (703) 872-9306)

Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00 EST

Any administrative inquiries can be obtained by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Published applications are available through either private or public PAIR. Unpublished applications are available via private PAIR only. Consult http://pair-direct.uspto.gov or contact the Electronic Business Center

(EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

rs 6/24/04

ROBERT E.L. SELLERS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5